

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/678,170	10/02/2000	Steven D. Kim	MPAT.182CP1	4126	
75	7590 06/03/2004			EXAMINER	
Scott A. Horstemeyer, Est. THOMAS, KAYDEN, HORSTEMEYER & RISLEY, LLP 100 Galleria Parkway			MEKY, MO	MEKY, MOUSTAFA M	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Suite 1750	•		2157	10	
Atlanta, GA 3	0339		DATE MAILED: 06/03/200	•	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	Application No.					
Office Action Summary	09/678,170	KIM ET AL.				
Onice Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
The MAN INC DATE of this committee in	Moustafa M Meky	2157				
- The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the main earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a eply within the statutory minimum of thiod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO ute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed irty (30) days will be considered timely NTHS from the mailing date of this co BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	y. ommunication.			
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 08	March 2004.					
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	nis action is non-final.					
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-21 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrest is/are allowed. 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-21 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	rawn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exami	ner.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s)	_					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date						
Notice of Draisperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-946) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 9/4-1-2004.		Informal Patent Application (PTC)-152)			

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 09/678,170

Art Unit: 2157

1. The amendment filed 3/8/2004 has been entered and considered by the examiner and claims 1-21 are presenting for examination.

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ludovici (US Pat. No. 6,567,849) in view of Waters (US Pat. No. 6,564,216).
- 4. As to claims 1-2, Ludovici shows in Figs 1-3, a web hosting system comprising:

 * a plurality of separate web hosting facilities and each one comprises a plurality of
 servers (www servers), see the abstract, col 2, lines 51-59, col 4, lines 10-25, lines 5263, col 6, lines 2-5, col 22, lines 54-67, col 27, lines 13-15, col 28, lines 30-33, col 47,
 lines 34-36. However, Ludovici does not teach a centralized database (He uses
 distributed databases). Waters shows in Fig 2, the use of a centralized database 204,
 see col 3, lines 15-17, lines 46-49, col 4, lines 22-25. Therefore, it would have been
 obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to
 combine the teaching of Waters with the system of Ludovici in order to utilize the
 bandwidth being used (the use of plurality of databases would use different
 communication channels that would lead to a waste of bandwidth).
- 5. As to claims 3-4, the combination of Ludovici and Waters shows a database access program to allow different users to access information in the database, see Ludovici, col 4, lines 10-25, lines 32-63, col 5, lines 31-51.

Application/Control Number: 09/678,170

Art Unit: 2157

6. As to claims 16-17, Ludovici shows that each of the servers is associated with a web hosting provider 310, see col 4, lines 52-63.

7. As to claims 5-15 & 18-19, the claims are similar in scope to claims 1-4 & 16-17, and they are rejected under the same rationale.

Therefore, it can be seen from paragraphs 4-7 that the combination of Ludovici and Waters teaches the limitations of claims 1-21.

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Moustafa M Meky whose telephone number is 703-305-9697. The examiner can normally be reached on flex.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ario Etienne can be reached on 703-305-4792. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

M.M.M June 01, 2004

> MOUSTAFA M. MEKY PRIMARY EXAMINER

planstiff pleky